

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,670	09/12/2005	Lennart Olsson	FRIG124144	9388
26389	7590 05/17/2006		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE			BIDWELL, JAMES R	
SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, V	VA 98101-2347	3651		
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/520,670	OLSSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James R. Bidwell	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DEPTH OF THE MAILING D	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 J</u>	anuary 2005.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-28,30 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖾	Claim(s) 29 and 31-33 is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							

Attachmen		n □ (-1	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Statement(s) (PTO-152) Stat							

Application/Control Number: 10/520,670

Art Unit: 3651

No 1449 form with references listed thereon has been received so the IDS dated 1/6/2005 has not been considered.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15-15-26, 30 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Larson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,501,319).

Larson et al. show in Figure 1 an endless belt for a helical path having transverse rods 58, lateral elements 71 connected in pairs to only two rods and coupling elements 80.

Re claim 16, the belt may turn about two axes.

Re claim 17, the links are mutually movable in the longitudinal direction.

Re claims 18 and 24, in an expanded state the distance between two rods corresponds to the distance between the coupling elements 80.

Re claims 19-22, 25 and 26, see the overlap in Figure 1.

Re claim 23, the links are mutually movable in a longitudinal direction.

Re claim 30, Figure 7 shows the elongated holes.

Re claim 34, it is inherent in spiral conveyors to use wire mesh.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/520,670

Art Unit: 3651

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson et al. in view of Olsson (U.S. Patent 6,029,797).

Larson et al. does not show a shoulder portion on the lateral elements. However, shown by Olsson is such a shoulder as is shown in Figure 2. To include such elements with shoulders would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as it would help to support the belt as is extremely well known in the field of spiral conveyors.

Claims 29 and 31-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to James R. Bidwell at telephone number (571)272-6910.

JRB

05-12-2006

JAMES R. BIDWELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP

3651